



Enacting Education for Sustainable Development (ESD) in Trinity:

Problem Framing in Sustainability: Prevention, Mitigation and Adaptation

Table of Contents

Enacting Education for Sustainable Development (ESD) in Trinity: Problem Framing in Sustainability Prevention, Mitigation and Adaptation	-
Background to the Module: Enacting ESD in Trinity	2
Core references	2
Background: Problem framing in Sustainability: Prevention, Mitigation and Adaptation	3
Video Resources are presented in three parts as follows:	3
Recommended reading to support educators using the video resources in their teaching practice:.	3
Guidance for Educators using this resource for teaching [Part 1of 3]	5
Characteristics of, and factors influencing, complex systems	5
Guidance for Educators using this resource for teaching [Part 2of 3]	6
Problem Framing and problem statements for sustainability challenges	6
Guidance for Educators using this resource for teaching [Part 3of 3]	7
Risk management strategies for solution-oriented approaches to prevention, mitigation and adaption.	7
References and Recommended Resources	8
UNESCO Preferred Pedagogical Approaches (UNESCO, 2017:55)	8
ESD Teaching Practice(s)	8
Enacting Education for Sustainable Development: recommendations as general resources	8
/ersion Information & Acknowledgements	9







Background to the Module: Enacting ESD in Trinity

Trinity's 'Enacting Education for Sustainable Development in Trinity' Module, collaboratively developed by six Academic interdisciplinary Trinity Fellows in ESD and four student ESD interns, is structured around five integrated cross disciplinary themes as follows:

- 1. Exploring a sustainable existence.
- 2. Systems complexity and future forecasting in sustainability.
- 3. Exploring worldviews, perceptions, and values on sustainable development.
- 4. Problem framing in sustainability: prevention, mitigation, and adaptation.
- 5. Misinformation related to sustainable development.

Curriculum for Enacting ESD in Trinity is grounded in <u>UNESCO preferred pedagogies</u> (<u>learner-centred approach</u>, <u>action-oriented learning and fostering transformative learning</u> (UNESCO, 2017:55), and learning outcomes therein target UNESCO's Key competencies (UNESCO, 2017:10) for sustainability.

Curriculum for 'Enacting ESD in Trinity' is structured around five themes, also referred to as Blocks, each of which includes 2 hours of lectorials developed by ESD Fellows, and one two-hour interactive workshop. Each theme is aligned with at least two of the 'shortfall' dimensions in Raworth's (2014) doughnut economics.

Scenarios and templates as were co-designed by Fellows and Interns, including artifacts for use during workshops, are available separately. Recommended teaching practice(s) for workshops, as aligned with UNESCO preferred pedagogies, were also included in curriculum for staff undertaking this module.

This Resource Guide aligns with the lectorials for Misinformation related to Sustainable Development. Three short videos provide key content related to the theme and this resource guide provides context and suggestions for integrating these resources to teaching practice that is learner-centred and action-oriented, and potentially integrates to curriculum to foster transformative learning (UNESCO, 2017:55).

Core references

- UNESCO (2017). Education for Sustainable Development Goals Learning Objectives.
- Raworth, Kate.
 - Doughnut economics: Seven ways to think like a 21stCentury economist. Penguin Random House. (2014).
 - o TedTalk: A healthy economy should be designed to thrive, not grow. (2018).
 - Doughnut Economics <u>Action Lab</u>







Background: Problem framing in Sustainability: Prevention, Mitigation and Adaptation.

This theme introduces the value of problem framing in sustainability. Practical steps that enable learners to identify risk and appropriately frame sustainability-related problems are outlined. Concepts related to prevention, mitigation and adaptation are explored to support the sustainable development goals. This informs strategies to address current and future challenges related to climate change.

Raworth's shortfall dimensions Housing-and-Shelter and Income-and-Work are aligned with the theme of Problem framing in Sustainability.

Video Resources are presented in three parts as follows:

- Part 1: Characteristics of, and factors influencing, complex systems
- Part 2: Problem Framing and problem statements for sustainability challenges
- Part 3: Risk management strategies for solution-oriented approaches to prevention, mitigation and adaption

Recommended reading to support educators using the video resources in their teaching practice:

- Part 1: A health map for the local human habitat. (Barton & Grant, 2006). [READ: 10 minutes]. Barton & Grant's presentation of the relationship between health and the physical/ social/ economic environment derives from Whitehead and Dahlgren's (1991) diagram of the social determinants of health, and from ecosystem theories and the principle of sustainable development. Their visualisation of health as a complex system will resonate with readers.
- Part 2: 'Why does 'framing' matter for sustainability?' Professor Andy Stirling (Video, 3 min).
 Stirling provides a holistic overview as to the importance of framing of sustainability challenges as part of ensuring the problem statement. He discusses the process of extracting the problem from a complex reality, and how a personal lens can influence your frame. He highlights the need for a systematic approach to ensure a problem statement supports a truly just transition.
- Part 3: Managing Risk. Project Management: A Socio-Technical Approach, (Larson et al, 2024)
 This Chapter on managing risk provides an overview of an established risk management process that can be put in place to identify, assess, and plan a response to include: (i) first identify all risks related to the problem or challenge at hand, (ii) explore how to ensure such risks can be avoided, (iii) prepare a risk response that addresses mitigation and adaption measures, and as a final step (iv) contingency plan to ensure positive outcomes in sustainability challenges.
- Part 3: Authentic sources of information framing with integrity A link to this resource will be added later in summer 2025.







UNESCO ESD Preferred Pedagogical Approaches (UNESCO, 2017:55)

UNESCO	UNESCO Description (UNESCO, 2017:55)	Examples of <u>Learning theories</u> *
recommends		Teaching practices aligned with UN Preferred
		(Millwood, 2021:v7)
Learner-	: = =:	Learning Theories - examples:
Centered	learners and emphasizes the active development of	1. Discovery learning
annroach III AI	, , ,	2. Individual Constructivism
	learning experiences. The learners' prior knowledge as well	
	,	4. Instructivism
	points for stimulating learning processes in which the	Teaching practices – examples:
	learners construct their own knowledge base. Learner centred approaches require learners to reflect on their own	1. Guided Reflection/prompts (Gibbs, 1998)
	knowledge and learning processes in order to manage and	2. Force choosing through ambiguity e.g.
	monitor them. Educators should stimulate and support	ranking options (Roche et al, 2017);
	those reflections. Learner-centred approaches change the	comparison processes (Nicol, 2020).
	role of an educator to one of being a facilitator of learning	3. Classroom assessment techniques
	processes (instead of being an expert who only transfers	(CATs) (<u>Angelo & Cross, 1993</u>).
	structured knowledge) <u>(Barth, 2015</u>)."	Teacher transfers knowledge directly.
Action-	In action-oriented learning, learners engage in action and	Learning Theories - examples:
	reflect on their experiences in terms of the intended	1. Social constructivism: (Ideally sequence
	learning process and personal development. The	peer interaction and debate after
learning (AOL)	experience might come from a project (in-service learning),	individual constructivism).
	an internship, the facilitation of a workshop, the	2. Experiential learning
		3. Situated learning
	, , , ,	4. Communities of practice
	following stages: 1. Having a concrete experience, 2.	Teaching practices – examples:
	Observing and reflecting, 3. Forming abstract concepts for	Rank less-than-ideal options
	generalization and 4. Applying them in new situations	individually, then require the small
	(<u>Kolb, 1984</u>). Action-learning increases knowledge	group to agree ranking of options.
	acquisition, competency development and values clarification by linking abstract concepts to personal	2. Role-play/debate assigned perspectives
	experience and the learner's life. The role of the educator is	3. Problem framing 'real-world' issues.
	to create a learning environment that prompts learners'	4. Solutions focussed- from local to global:
	experiences and reflexive thought processes."	groups problem solve collaboratively.
(Fostering)	"Transformative learning can best be defined by its aims	Learning Theories - examples:
Transformative		Critical pedagogy
	learning strategy. It aims at empowering learners to	2. Double-loop learning
learning (FTL)	question and change the ways they see and think about	3. Conversation theory
	the world in order to deepen their understanding of <u>it</u>	4. Connectivism
Learning	(Slavich and Zimbardo, 2012; Mezirow, 2000). The	Teaching practices – examples:
	eaucator is a facilitator wno empowers and challenges	Connect knowledge to power/action.
foster	learners to alter their worldviews. The related concept of	 Modify goals based on experience.
IUSLEI	transarassiva lagraina (Lata Cicitly at al. 2015) agas and	
transformative	transgressive learning (Lotz-Sisitka et al., 2015) goes one	3. Co-construct knowledge through
transformative	step further: It underlines that learning in ESD has to	,
transformative learning are	step further: It underlines that learning in ESD has to overcome the status quo and prepare the learner for	 Co-construct knowledge through dialogue – learning as a social process. Constructing and traversing networks.
transformative learning are proposed.	step further: It underlines that learning in ESD has to overcome the status auo and prepare the learner for	dialogue – learning as a social process.





Guidance for Educators using this resource for teaching [Part 1of 3]

Characteristics of, and factors influencing, complex systems.

Slide Title	Teaching Practice(s): options for:	UNESCO pedagogical approaches*
(time)	IP: in-person/in classroom teaching	LCA: Learner-centred approach
(cirrie)	SO: synchronous online teaching	AOL: Action-Oriented Learning
	30. Synchronous offinic teaching	FTL: (Fostering) Transformative Learning
Housing, Income and	Prompt learners to suggest examples of challenges related to e.g. housing/Income	LCA: Prompt individual reflection, on housing and shelter, and Income and
work: complex systems (2:54)	and/or complex systems. IP: use pen-and- paper if share and compare option to be used in-person. SO: use chat function - all post at one time. IP/SO: use polling tools.	Work, in the context of complex systems. AOL: Require learner to 'take a position'. Enable share-and-compare with peers. FTL: Peer-comparators helps e xpand learner's range of perspectives.
Examples: Health and Food (10.45)	Introduce health as a complex system: global, 'local', and individual determinants are impacted by environmental, social and economic factors. Similar conceptualization of our globalized food sector food chain.	LCA: Support knowledge acquisition and increase literacy in ESD concepts. AOL: Prompt deeper consideration of Health & Food as complex global systems FTL: Expand range of perspectives in ESD.
Factors influencing (Complex) systems: (15:18)	Visualisation of health, food, water and energy as organic systems, and their responses to spatial or temporal scales. Prompt learner understanding of how to simplify a complex problem, and the value of transdisciplinary skills to ESD.	LCA: Prompt individual reflection. Require learner to 'visualise' the future. AOL: Apply spatial or temporal scales to a defined challenge: Housing crisis. FTL: Enables learners to begin to develop skills underpinning 'futures thinking'.
Complex Systems - Housing Crisis (17:15)	Ask: what reasons have created this crisis? Prompt consideration of scales and e.g.: -Imbalance of supply and demand? -Affordability and property prices? -Policy and planning barriers?	LCA: Prompt individual reflection. AOL: Option to collaborate with peers. FTL: Enables learners to see themselves as 'solutions focused' agents of change.
Problem framing (19.57)	Teachers/educators could include prework /activities that familiarise learners with frameworks for problem framing and writing problem statements. Peer debate.	LCA: Support knowledge acquisition. AOL: Develop learner's ability to apply frameworks to real world challenges. FTL: Peers' discussion expands ability to critique policy in the public domain.
Deforestation dilemma(s) (20:45)	Scenario choice(s) can adapt to support contextualization to learner's discipline -Identify key challenges to achieving sustainability -What is the core problem that you have identified? -Identify the risks by consulting with all stakeholders when planning a management strategy.	LCA: Prompts reflection on their understanding of sustainability challenges. AOL: Practices identification of problems. FTL: Expands learner's ability to critique strategies to address climate change.

Table is aligned with Milwood's Learning Theories Map: UNESCO ESD Preferred Pedagogical Approaches.







Guidance for Educators using this resource for teaching [Part 2of 3]

Problem Framing and problem statements for sustainability challenges.

Slide Title	Teaching Practice(s): options for:	UNESCO pedagogical approaches *		
(time)	IP: in-person/in classroom teaching	LCA: Learner-centred approach		
	SO: synchronous online teaching	AOL: Action-Oriented Learning		
		FTL: (Fostering) Transformative Learning		
Problem	Prompt What is framing? include (i) where	LCA: Prompt individual reflection on the		
Framing	emphasis is placed, (ii) how we explain a	framing process and potential for bias.		
(1.51)	problem and (iii) what we fail to mention.	AOL: Require learner to frame a problem		
,	Prompt: How do we avoid personal and/or	for a given case 'and/or discuss bias risk.		
	disciplinary bias when framing?	FTL: Understanding risks of bias is likely		
		to increase learner's management of risk.		
I-Frames and S-	Key: Problem Framing aims to identify	LCA: Support knowledge acquisition.		
Frames	risk/resilience. Need multiple perspectives:	Increase literacy linked to managing Risk.		
(6:00)	- I-Frames – individual (behaviours)	AOL: Application to cases promotes		
	- S-Frames – system/governed by society	deeper consideration of the wide range of approaches necessary to frame problems.		
	Prompt: differentiate I-Frame and S-Frame	FTL: Increased understanding of frames		
	in 'plastics' and smoking bans perspectives.	helps learner's develop agency in ESD.		
Problem	Prompt learners to learn the process:-	LCA: Prompt individual reflection on each		
Statements -	Who has the problem – identify stakeholders	stage in problem statement development.		
Sustainability	What is the problem – a systematic approach	AOL: Develop learner's ability in the use		
(10:27)	When/where does the problem occur - focus	of a systematic approach to statements.		
(10.27)	Why is it important to address the problem –	FTL: Expand learner's understanding that		
	principles of responsibility/advocacy.	risk is an inherent component of planning		
	All steps have an inherent level of risk.	to address complex problems (in ESD).		
Problem	Prompt learners to apply the framework to	LCA: Support understanding - in the		
Statements e.g.	this scenario as prework or in-class.	context of real-world complex systems.		
Homelessness	Comparison with peers / discussion and	AOL: Guide learner to move from		
(12:24)	debate will foster transformative learning.	'problem framing to problem statement'		
Scenario related to	IP: use pen-and-paper if share and compare option	FTL: Peer-comparators helps expand		
the crisis in Ireland.	to be used in-person. SO: use chat function - all post	learner's range of perspectives and ability to engage with public debate on ESD.		
Housing Crisis	at one time. IP/SO : use polling tools. IP/SO : Discuss.			
Housing Crisis -	Expand learner's options i.e. statements: (i)	AOL: Develop learner's ability to actively address real-world challenges and policy.		
example	a summary of the current state (problem),	FTL: Expand ability to engage in debate.		
(15:53)	(ii) the ideal state (goal) and (iii) the gap.			
Conclusions	Messaging to empower learners: Problem	AOL: Develop learner's ability to prepare		
(16:03)	statements should capture the problem	solutions-focused problem statements.		
	and goal, which then informs how and what	FTL: Empower learners to believe they can drive solutions focused action for SD.		
	we deliver as solutions to the problem.	can unive solutions locused action for SD.		

Table is aligned with Milwood's Learning Theories Map: UNESCO ESD Preferred Pedagogical Approaches.







Guidance for Educators using this resource for teaching [Part 3of 3]

Risk management strategies for solution-oriented approaches to prevention, mitigation and adaption.

Slide Title	Teaching Practice(s): options for:	UNESCO pedagogical approaches*
(time)	IP: in-person/in classroom teaching	LCA: Learner-centred approach
` '	SO: synchronous online teaching	AOL: Action-Oriented Learning
	3	FTL: (Fostering) Transformative Learning
Problem	Prompt learners to draft a (discipline-	LCA: Prompt individual reflection.
statement(s) -	specific?) problem statement as prework	AOL: Learner completion of the template
homelessness	and/or Scenario review in teaching sessions	requires them to state their own position.
(4.15)	IP: use pen-and-paper if share and compare option	FTL: Expand learner's agency/sense of
, -,	to be used in-person. SO: use chat function - all post	empowerment as to what they can (plan
	at one time. IP/SO : use polling tools. IP/SO : Discuss.	to) do individually and collectively.
(RM) - Risk	Prompt visualization of risk management as	LCA: develop literacy in risk management
Management	a process for analyzing complex systems, in	processes and learn how to recognize risk.
(6.11)	which root cause analysis is an early stage.	AOL: Apply root cause analysis tool(s).
Risk	Demo a risk matrix by assigning numbers to	LCA: Prompt individual reflection on how
assessment/	 Probability (of an event occurring) vs 	to assess risk using appropriate methods.
Evaluation	 impact (if the event did occur). 	AOL: Learner calculates scores
(Climate)	Explain how risk scores may change in time	individually then compares in groups.
(9:00)	i.e. reflect on spatial and temporal changes.	FTL: Expand learner' agency: recognize
	'Future' variations need different solutions.	what can be done individually/collectively. Link systems and focus on solutions.
Prevention,	Prompt reflection on initiatives to prevent	LCA: Literacy development: understand
mitigation and	climate change, mitigation (e.g. to reduce	prevention, mitigation and adaptation.
adaptation –	emissions) and adaptation (to the climate	AOL: Develop learner's ability to review
(13:57)	change that has been inherited).	for vulnerabilities and/or plan solutions.
Sustainability	Introduce sustainability RM as the process	LCA: Support knowledge acquisition and
Risk	of identifying, measuring, mitigating and	understanding of sustainability RM.
Management	reporting on environmental, social and	AOL: Develop learner's ability to apply
(17:07)	governance factors affecting organisations.	sustainability RM processes to challenges
Do no	Prompt reflection on principled approaches	LCA: Prompt reflection on their beliefs
significant harm	likely to guide decision-making through	and values, such as just transition and do-
(25:11)	ambiguity and competing vulnerabilities in	no-harm, in addressing climate crises.
	climate crises. Remind learners: Prevention,	AOL: Develop abilities supportive of risk
Where the just	mitigation and adaptation are effective,	management and decision-making through competing vulnerabilities.
transition fits	and interdependent, strategies that	FTL: Empower learners to act responsibly
(26.22)	address challenges related to climate	individually and collectively in pursuit of
	change. Ideally provide opportunity to	effective and just transitions towards
l	change racan promate opportunity to	

^{*}Table is aligned with Milwood's Learning Theories Map: UNESCO ESD Preferred Pedagogical Approaches.







References and Recommended Resources

UNESCO Preferred Pedagogical Approaches (UNESCO, 2017:55)

Barth, M. 2015. <u>Implementing sustainability in higher education: learning in an age of transformation</u>. London, Routledge.

Kolb, D. A. 1984. Experiential Learning: Experience as the Source of Learning and Development. Englewood Cliffs, N.J., Prentice-Hall

Lotz-Sisitka, H.; Wals, A. E.; Kronlid, D. & McGarry, D. 2015. <u>Transformative, transgressive social learning:</u> <u>rethinking higher education pedagogy in times of systemic global dysfunction</u>. Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability, Vol. 16, pp. 73–80.

Mezirow, J. 2000. <u>Learning as transformation: critical perspectives on a theory in progress</u>. San Francisco, Jossey-Bass.

Slavich, G. M. and Zimbardo, P. G. 2012. <u>Transformational Teaching: Theoretical Underpinnings. Basic Principles, and Core Methods</u>. Educational Psychology Review, Vol. 24, No. 4, pp. 569–608

ESD Teaching Practice(s)

Angelo, T.A. & Cross, P.K. (1993). Classroom Assessment Techniques and Davis, B.G. Tools for Teaching.

Gibbs, G. (1998). Learning by doing. A Guide to Teaching and Learning Methods. Oxford: Further Education Unit, Oxford Polytechnic.

Mezirow, J. & Taylor, E. (Eds) (2009). <u>Transformative Learning in Practice: Insights from Community, Workplace, and Higher Education</u>. Jossey-Bass.

Nicol, D. (2020). <u>The power of internal feedback: exploiting natural comparison processes</u>. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*, *46*(5), 756–778.

Roche, C.; Thoma, S.J.; Grimes, T. & Radomski, M. (2017). <u>Promoting peer debate in pursuit of moral reasoning competencies development: Spotlight on educational intervention design</u>. Innovations in Pharmacy. 8(2).

Enacting Education for Sustainable Development: recommendations as general resources.

Centre for Sustainable Healthcare (UK Charity) e.g. Four principles of sustainable healthcare.

Climate Migrants – an Introduction (ESRI): Rising seas, Extreme Heat, Water Woes & Climate and Conflict.

<u>Doughnut Economics Action Lab</u>: e.g. A safe space for humanity.

Stockholm Resilience Centre: e.g. Planetary Boundaries.

United Nations Framework Convention Climate Change (UNFCCC).







Version Information & Acknowledgements

Version Information:

Version 1.04 – 11/11/25

<u>Centre for Academic Practice</u>

Trinity Teaching and Learning

Trinity College Dublin

Acknowledgments:

Many of the resources on our <u>ESD Teaching Materials page</u> were developed collaboratively by six ESD Fellows (Carlos Rocha, Cicely Roche, Sarah-Jane Cullinane, John Gallagher, Clare Kelly, and Felix Mezzanotte) and four student interns (Freddie Fallon, Maryam Yabo, Tom Hegarty and William Reynolds) as part of Trinity's 'Enacting Education for Sustainable Development in Trinity' Module. <u>Click here to find out more about this module and its development</u>.

This work was funded by the National Forum/Higher Education Authority under the Strategic Alignment of Teaching and Learning Enhancement Fund.

Header image graphic created by RosZie - Pixabay (edited).

Further Information:

For further links and resources, please visit the Centre for Academic Practice's ESD Hub.



These materials are shared under a <u>Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-Sharealike 4.0</u> International License (CC BY-NC-SA 4.0). This means that you can reuse, adapt, and build on these materials, providing attribution is given to the creators and providing you share the

modified material under identical terms. You may not use the material for commercial purposes.

